

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FU	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/878,707	06/11/2001		Patrick G. Ryan	19042/00101	3980
26116	7590	01/23/2006		EXAMINER	
SIDLEY A			GRAYSAY, TAMARA L		
SUITE 3400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, 7	TX 75201		3636		

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/878,707	RYAN ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Tamara L. Graysay	3636
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. \$ 133)
Status			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1-19 and 33 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 20,21,24-26,28 and 30-32 is/are reject Claim(s) 22,23,27 and 29 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. eted. r election requirement.	
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 November 2005</u> is/al Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected are discovered. See some some consistency of the drawing (s) is object in the drawing (s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO_413)
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 20, 21, 24-26, 28 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choudhury (article, Uses and consequences of electronic markets: an empirical investigation in the aircraft parts industry).
 - a. Claims 20 and 24: Choudhury teaches collecting inventory information (p. 473, col. 1, an electronic market to exchange information; fig. 2 inventory levels; p. 483, col. 1, purchases for inventory and aggregating expected consumption forecasts over the fleet) from a plurality of buyers (p.484, lines 3-17, communication among buyers (plural) and sellers (plural)); determining demand based on desired inventory profile and collected inventory information (p. 483, and col. 1); identifying an available supplier (p. 484, col. 1, lines 3-17, when a product (part) is requested the names of all sellers are listed); notifying at least a portion of the buyers (p. 484, col.1, lines 3-17, the requesting buyer is notified of product (part) availability) that have a current demand which corresponds to an available product supply (p. 484, col. 1, lines 3-17, a buyer requests a listing). The term marketing as used in the preamble is broad and has been interpreted to include notifying the buyer after a query is made about a particular product, or part as taught in Choudhury.

Application/Control Number: 09/878,707

Art Unit: 3636

b. Claim 21: Choudhury inherently includes periodic repetition of the steps insofar

Page 3

as Choudhury discloses a method used by various buyers and sellers when inventory or

other constraints require that the buyer request products from a seller.

c. Claim 24 (continued): Choudhury further discloses a method wherein the buyer

requests information for a part (p.484, col. 1, lines 3-17) each time the buyer requests

information, the method step of collecting information for each of a plurality of products

from each of a plurality of buyers has been met.

d. Claim 25: Choudhury discloses a method used by a plurality of buyers; therefore,

the information collected is from a plurality of buyers for a plurality of parts (p. 484, col.

1, lines 3-17, communication among buyers and sellers).

e. Claims 26 and 28: Choudhury discloses demand based on manual indication by

the buyer (p. 484, col. 1, lines 3-17, the buyer requests listings of sellers for a part).

f. Claim 30: Choudhury discloses the listing of surplus inventory on the inventory

locator service (p. 483, col. 2, second paragraph). The term surplus is not defined in the

specification. For purposes of this action, the claim has been interpreted broadly to

include product in excess of demand.

Application/Control Number: 09/878,707

Art Unit: 3636

g. Claim 31: Choudhury discloses a system for performing the steps of collecting,

Page 4

determining, identifying, and notifying, as discussed with regard to claim 20 above.

h. Claim 32: Choudhury discloses the system for facilitating marketing comprising a

data input device (fig. 1, buyer 1-5), a calculating device (fig. 1, electronic market), a

matching device (fig. 1, electronic market), and an output device (inherently disclosed,

e.g., information requested by the buyer in a search for sellers would be displayed for the

buyer's consideration).

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-19 and 33 are allowed.

3. Claims 22, 23, 27 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 14 November 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claimed subject matter is limited to aggregating demand by collecting information from each of a plurality of buyers and determining product demand for each buyer, stating that the buyers are owners. More particularly, applicant argues the Choudhury reference does not aggregate demand over *multiple owners*.

In response, the examiner's position is that the terms buyer and seller are labels assigned to the particular entity in the supply chain process and nothing more. The claims at issue include both process (claims 21, 21, 24-26, 28, 30) and apparatus (claims 31 and 32).

The examiner's position with regard to a process claim is that the terms buyer and seller may narrow the scope of a process claim, but only as defined by the process steps, i.e., acts or activities, that are performed by the entities having the buyer and seller label. If there is no buying (or selling) activity, then the use of the term buyer (or seller) does not limit the claim scope and cannot limit the claim more than the recited steps. In other words, applicant is relying on limitations that are taken from the specification (what a buyer or seller is or does) in support of the argument. For example, applicant is arguing that Choudhury's multiple fleet members are not multiple *owners*. The examiner strongly disagrees and points out that the claims do not require any buying or selling. The claims are directed to demand analysis, which is met by Choudhury's plurality of fleet members. In terms of marketing and/or accounting, Choudhury's fleet members are separate entities that "demand" product and are "supplied" product.

Therefore, the reference as applied in the above rejection meets the limitations as set forth in the process claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/878,707

Art Unit: 3636

Page 6

The examiner's position with regard to an apparatus claim is that the terms are merely labels and no further limitation can be read into the claim from the specification. In particular, neither the role of a buyer nor the role of a seller can be included in the scope of the claim as written. Therefore, the reference as applied in the above rejection meets the limitations as set forth in the apparatus claims.

Art Unit: 3636

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamara L. Graysay whose telephone number is (571) 272-6728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Cuomo, can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tamara L. Graysay 1/14/06

Examiner Art Unit 3636